



OPEN ACCESS

Volume: 5

Issue: 2

Month: May

Year: 2026

ISSN: 2583-7117

Published: 22.05.2026

Citation:

Dr. Sarika Gupta, Adv. Ganesh Akhade
“Uniform Civil Code and Hindu Personal
Law: Constitutional Morality, Equality,
and the Challenge of Legal Pluralism”
International Journal of Innovations in
Science Engineering and Management,
vol. 5, no. 2, 2026, pp. 230-236.

DOI:

10.69968/ijisem.2026v5i2230-236



This work is licensed under a Creative
Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0
International License

Uniform Civil Code and Hindu Personal Law: Constitutional Morality, Equality, and the Challenge of Legal Pluralism

Dr. Sarika Gupta¹, Adv. Ganesh Akhade²

¹Assistant Professor, Agnel School of Law, Vashi, Navi Mumbai

²Assistant Professor, Agnel School of Law, Vashi, Navi Mumbai

Abstract

The debate surrounding the Uniform Civil Code (UCC) constitutes one of the most significant constitutional and socio-legal controversies in India. Article 44 of the Constitution directs the State to endeavour to secure a Uniform Civil Code for all citizens throughout the territory of India, while Articles 25 and 26 guarantee freedom of religion and protection of religious practices. This constitutional tension between equality and religious freedom has made the implementation of the UCC a deeply contested issue in Indian constitutional jurisprudence.¹

Hindu Personal Law occupies a central place in this debate because it has undergone extensive codification and reform after independence through legislations such as the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, the Hindu Succession Act, 1956, the Hindu Adoption and Maintenance Act, 1956, and the Hindu Minority and Guardianship Act, 1956.² These reforms sought to modernize traditional Hindu law and align it with constitutional principles of equality, dignity, and justice.

This paper critically examines the relationship between the Uniform Civil Code and Hindu Personal Law from constitutional, historical, judicial, and socio-political perspectives. It analyses whether Hindu law already functions as a semi-uniform civil framework and evaluates whether the implementation of a UCC would genuinely promote gender justice and secularism or instead threaten India's multicultural identity and legal pluralism.

The study further analyses landmark judicial decisions including Mohd. Ahmed Khan v. Shah Bano Begum,³ Sarla Mudgal v. Union of India,⁴ John Vallamattom v. Union of India,⁵ Shayara Bano v. Union of India,⁶ and Vineeta Sharma v. Rakesh Sharma.⁷ These decisions illustrate the judiciary's evolving approach toward constitutional morality, equality, and reform of discriminatory personal laws.

The paper argues that although equality, dignity, gender justice, and national integration are legitimate constitutional objectives, the implementation of a Uniform Civil Code must be gradual, consultative, and rooted in constitutional morality rather than political majoritarianism. It concludes that personal law reform should prioritize justice, dignity, and equality while preserving India's pluralistic constitutional identity.

Keywords; Uniform Civil Code, Hindu Personal Law, Article 44, Constitutional Morality, Secularism, Gender Justice, Equality, Religious Freedom, Legal Pluralism, Personal Laws..

INTRODUCTION

India is one of the most culturally and religiously diverse nations in the world. The Indian legal system reflects this diversity through the coexistence of secular laws and religion-based personal laws governing matters such as marriage, divorce, succession, inheritance, guardianship, maintenance, and adoption. Hindus, Buddhists, Jains, and Sikhs are governed primarily by Hindu law, Muslims by Muslim Personal Law, Christians by Christian law, Parsis by separate personal laws, while several tribal communities continue to follow customary practices.⁸

The existence of multiple personal laws has generated continuing debates concerning equality, secularism, gender justice, and national integration. The idea of a Uniform Civil Code seeks to replace religion-based personal laws with a common civil law applicable to all citizens irrespective of religion.

Article 44 of the Constitution provides:

“The State shall endeavour to secure for the citizens a Uniform Civil Code throughout the territory of India.”⁹

The framers of the Constitution regarded the UCC as an instrument of social reform and national integration. However, due to concerns regarding religious freedom and minority rights, Article 44 was placed under the Directive Principles of State Policy rather than within the chapter on Fundamental Rights.¹⁰

The controversy surrounding the UCC arises from the constitutional challenge of balancing two competing values. On one hand, the Constitution guarantees equality, dignity, secularism, and gender justice under Articles 14, 15, and 21. On the other hand, Articles 25 and 26 protect religious freedom, cultural identity, and community autonomy. This conflict between constitutional morality and religious pluralism has made the implementation of a UCC one of the most complex constitutional questions in India.¹¹

Hindu Personal Law occupies a particularly significant position within this debate because it has already undergone extensive legislative reform through the Hindu Code Bills enacted after independence. These reforms introduced monogamy, divorce, women’s inheritance rights, and legal adoption while attempting to harmonize Hindu law with constitutional values.¹²

The judiciary has repeatedly emphasized the desirability of a Uniform Civil Code in several landmark judgments. Simultaneously, courts have also acknowledged the importance of preserving India’s pluralistic social structure and constitutional commitment to religious freedom.

This paper examines the constitutional foundations of the Uniform Civil Code, the historical evolution and codification of Hindu Personal Law, judicial approaches toward personal law reform, and the challenges associated with implementing a common civil code in a pluralistic society like India.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1. To examine the constitutional framework relating to the Uniform Civil Code.
2. To study the historical development and codification of Hindu Personal Law.
3. To analyse landmark judicial decisions concerning personal laws and the UCC.
4. To evaluate the relationship between constitutional morality and religious freedom.
5. To examine whether a Uniform Civil Code is feasible in a pluralistic society like India.

6. To identify challenges and suggest reforms concerning personal laws in India.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The present study is doctrinal and analytical in nature. Primary sources include constitutional provisions, statutes, judicial decisions, Constituent Assembly Debates, and Law Commission Reports. Secondary sources include books, journal articles, research papers, legal commentaries, and scholarly writings relating to the Uniform Civil Code and Hindu Personal Law.

The study also adopts historical and comparative approaches to examine the evolution of personal laws and constitutional principles governing equality, secularism, and religious freedom in India.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Author	Work	Main Contribution
M.P. Jain	<i>Indian Constitutional Law</i>	Discusses constitutional philosophy underlying Article 44 and secularism.
Paras Diwan	<i>Modern Hindu Law</i>	Explains codification and modernization of Hindu Personal Law.
Flavia Agnes	<i>Law and Gender Inequality</i>	Examines gender discrimination within personal laws.
Tahir Mahmood	<i>Uniform Civil Code: Fictions and Facts</i>	Critically evaluates legal and political dimensions of the UCC debate.
Eleanor Newbiggin	<i>The Codification of Personal Law and Secular Citizenship</i>	Discusses historical codification of Hindu law.

The literature reveals that scholars remain divided regarding the implementation of a Uniform Civil Code. While some scholars regard the UCC as essential for gender justice and equality, others argue that it may undermine minority rights, religious autonomy, and India’s pluralistic constitutional identity.¹³

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND OF PERSONAL LAWS IN INDIA

Ancient Hindu Law

Traditional Hindu law was derived from sources such as Shrutis, Smritis, commentaries, and customs. Two major schools governed Hindu law: the Mitakshara school, prevalent in most parts of India, and the Dayabhaga school, primarily followed in Bengal and Assam.¹⁴

Traditional Hindu law was predominantly patriarchal. Women possessed limited inheritance rights, divorce was

absent, and property rights were unequal. Social reform movements during the nineteenth and twentieth centuries gradually initiated demands for codification and modernization of Hindu law.

Muslim Personal Law

Muslim Personal Law is based primarily upon the Quran, Hadith, Ijma, and Qiyas. Different schools such as Hanafi and Shia schools influenced legal interpretation and application. Muslim Personal Law in India continued largely uncodified except in specific areas such as the Dissolution of Muslim Marriages Act, 1939.

British Colonial Policy

The British adopted a policy of non-interference in religious matters. Criminal and commercial laws were gradually unified, but personal laws remained religion-specific. This colonial approach institutionalized legal pluralism and preserved religious distinctions within family law.¹⁵

As a result, India inherited a dual legal structure consisting of secular public laws and religion-based personal laws.

CONSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK OF UNIFORM CIVIL CODE

Article 44 and Directive Principles

Article 44 forms part of the Directive Principles of State Policy and states:

“The State shall endeavour to secure for the citizens a Uniform Civil Code throughout the territory of India.”

The objective of Article 44 is to promote national integration, equality before law, uniformity in civil matters, and elimination of discriminatory practices. However, Directive Principles are non-justiciable and cannot be directly enforced by courts.¹⁶

Nevertheless, Directive Principles remain fundamental in the governance of the country and guide legislative policy.

Fundamental Rights and Religious Freedom

The constitutional debate regarding the UCC primarily involves reconciliation between Fundamental Rights and Directive Principles.

Article 14 guarantees equality before law and equal protection of laws. Article 15 prohibits discrimination on grounds of religion, race, caste, sex, or place of birth. Article 21 protects life, liberty, dignity, and personal autonomy.

Simultaneously, Articles 25 and 26 guarantee freedom of religion and protection of religious practices. Religious communities therefore claim autonomy in matters governed by personal laws.

The constitutional challenge lies in balancing constitutional commitments to equality and gender justice with protection of religious freedom and cultural diversity.

Constituent Assembly Debates on UCC

The Constituent Assembly witnessed intense debate regarding the desirability of a Uniform Civil Code.

B.R. Ambedkar strongly supported the UCC and argued that religion should not govern secular matters such as marriage and succession. Ambedkar maintained that personal laws must remain open to reform and modernization in order to achieve social justice and gender equality.¹⁷

However, several members opposed compulsory implementation due to concerns regarding minority rights, cultural autonomy, and religious freedom. As a compromise, Article 44 was placed within the Directive Principles rather than Fundamental Rights.

The debates reflected the constitutional tension between social reform and protection of diversity.

EVOLUTION OF HINDU PERSONAL LAW

Hindu Code Bills

After independence, Parliament undertook comprehensive reform of Hindu law through codification.

Statute	Objective
Hindu Marriage Act, 1955	Marriage and divorce
Hindu Succession Act, 1956	Succession and inheritance
Hindu Adoption and Maintenance Act, 1956	Adoption and maintenance
Hindu Minority and Guardianship Act, 1956	Guardianship

These legislations collectively transformed Hindu Personal Law into a modern statutory framework.¹⁸

Reforms Introduced

The Hindu Code Bills introduced several progressive reforms. Polygamy among Hindus was abolished and monogamy became compulsory. Divorce received legal recognition for the first time under Hindu law. Women obtained inheritance and maintenance rights, and legal procedures relating to adoption and guardianship were codified.

These reforms demonstrated that personal laws are not immutable and may be restructured in accordance with constitutional values and social reform.

Hindu Succession and Gender Justice

The Hindu Succession Act, 1956 initially failed to provide equal coparcenary rights to daughters under Mitakshara Hindu law. Daughters possessed limited inheritance rights and were excluded from coparcenary ownership.

The Hindu Succession (Amendment) Act, 2005 fundamentally transformed this position by granting daughters equal coparcenary rights by birth. The amendment represented a major step toward realization of constitutional principles of equality and gender justice.¹⁹

The reform reflected the growing influence of constitutional morality upon personal law reform in India.

LANDMARK JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Mohd. Ahmed Khan v. Shah Bano Begum

The decision in *Mohd. Ahmed Khan v. Shah Bano Begum* marked a turning point in constitutional discourse concerning personal laws and gender justice. Shah Bano, a Muslim woman divorced through triple talaq, sought maintenance under Section 125 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Her husband argued that under Muslim Personal Law his obligation extended only during the iddat period.

The Supreme Court rejected this argument and held that Section 125 CrPC applies to all citizens irrespective of religion. Chief Justice Y.V. Chandrachud observed that personal laws cannot override constitutional commitments to social justice and dignity. The Court further emphasized the desirability of implementing a Uniform Civil Code under Article 44.²⁰

The judgment is constitutionally significant because it treated maintenance as a matter of social justice rather than

purely religious obligation. However, the decision also generated political controversy and eventually led to enactment of the Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Divorce) Act, 1986.

Sarla Mudgal v. Union of India

In *Sarla Mudgal v. Union of India*, certain Hindu men converted to Islam solely to contract second marriages without dissolving their first marriages.

The Supreme Court held that conversion does not automatically dissolve an existing Hindu marriage and that a second marriage without divorce amounts to bigamy under Section 494 IPC. The Court emphasized the necessity of a Uniform Civil Code to prevent misuse of religion and conflicting personal laws.²¹

The judgment highlighted the practical difficulties arising from multiple personal laws and reinforced monogamy under Hindu law.

John Vallamattom v. Union of India

The case concerned Section 118 of the Indian Succession Act, which imposed restrictions upon Christians regarding charitable bequests.

The Supreme Court struck down the provision as unconstitutional for violating Article 14. The judgment reinforced constitutional scrutiny of discriminatory personal laws and affirmed that personal law provisions must conform to constitutional guarantees of equality.²²

Shayara Bano v. Union of India

The judgment in *Shayara Bano v. Union of India* represents one of the most important modern constitutional decisions relating to personal laws and gender equality. The petitioner challenged the constitutional validity of triple talaq (*talaq-e-biddat*) on the grounds that it violated Articles 14, 15, and 21 of the Constitution.

By a majority decision, the Supreme Court declared triple talaq unconstitutional and arbitrary. The Court held that practices which are manifestly arbitrary and discriminatory cannot claim constitutional protection merely because they are religious in character.²³

The judgment significantly expanded the doctrine of constitutional morality and strengthened protection of Muslim women's rights. It also revived debates regarding reform of personal laws and implementation of a Uniform Civil Code.

Vineeta Sharma v. Rakesh Sharma

The issue in *Vineeta Sharma v. Rakesh Sharma* concerned whether daughters possess coparcenary rights irrespective of whether the father was alive at the time of the 2005 amendment.

The Supreme Court held that daughters acquire coparcenary rights by birth and that these rights are independent of the father's living status.²⁴

The judgment substantially strengthened gender equality within Hindu law and reaffirmed constitutional commitments to substantive equality and dignity.

CONSTITUTIONAL MORALITY AND PERSONAL LAWS

The doctrine of constitutional morality has increasingly become central to judicial review of personal laws. Constitutional morality refers to adherence to constitutional values such as equality, liberty, dignity, fraternity, and justice.

Indian courts have progressively prioritized constitutional morality over discriminatory customs and practices. Judicial decisions concerning triple talaq, women's inheritance rights, and maintenance demonstrate that personal laws are subject to constitutional scrutiny when they violate fundamental rights.²⁵

In the context of the Uniform Civil Code, constitutional morality supports reform of discriminatory practices and development of legal standards that protect individual dignity irrespective of religion or gender.

ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF UNIFORM CIVIL CODE

Supporters of the Uniform Civil Code argue that different personal laws create unequal legal standards based upon religion and thereby undermine constitutional guarantees of equality. A common civil code would ensure equal civil rights for all citizens irrespective of religion.

Gender justice remains one of the strongest arguments supporting the UCC. Several personal laws continue to discriminate against women in matters relating to divorce, inheritance, guardianship, and maintenance. Reform through a UCC is therefore viewed as necessary for realization of substantive equality.

Proponents also argue that uniform civil laws would strengthen national integration by reducing legal

fragmentation and promoting common citizenship. Additionally, they contend that a secular state should not maintain religion-based legal systems in civil matters.

A UCC may also simplify legal processes by reducing contradictions and complexities arising from multiple personal law systems.

ARGUMENTS AGAINST UNIFORM CIVIL CODE

Opponents argue that India's constitutional identity is deeply rooted in pluralism and multiculturalism. Imposition of a Uniform Civil Code may therefore threaten cultural diversity and minority autonomy.

Many minority communities perceive the UCC as a potential instrument of majoritarian domination rather than genuine social reform. Personal laws are often regarded as integral components of religious identity and community life.

Critics also argue that debates surrounding the UCC are frequently politicized and used for electoral purposes rather than genuine constitutional reform.

Further, drafting a universally acceptable civil code within a highly diverse society remains an extremely difficult task. India contains numerous religious, customary, and tribal practices that complicate the possibility of complete legal uniformity.

IS HINDU LAW ALREADY A SEMI-UNIFORM CIVIL CODE?

Some scholars argue that Hindu law already functions as a semi-uniform civil framework because it applies to Hindus, Buddhists, Jains, and Sikhs and has undergone extensive codification and modernization.

The Hindu Code Bills introduced monogamy, divorce, inheritance rights for women, and codified adoption procedures, thereby aligning Hindu law with constitutional principles of equality and social justice.

However, Hindu law still retains religious and customary elements. Moreover, codification of Hindu law alone cannot substitute for a genuinely uniform and religion-neutral civil framework applicable equally to all citizens.

COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVE

Country	Approach
France	Strict secular civil code
Turkey	Swiss Civil Code adopted
Indonesia	Multiple religious laws coexist
Israel	Religious courts govern family matters
India	Combination of secular and personal laws

India's diversity makes direct transplantation of foreign legal models unsuitable. Any Indian model of personal law reform must therefore emerge from constitutional principles and social consensus rather than imitation of foreign systems.

CHALLENGES IN IMPLEMENTING UNIFORM CIVIL CODE

Implementation of a Uniform Civil Code faces several constitutional, social, and political challenges. India's immense religious and cultural diversity makes consensus extremely difficult. Several tribal communities also enjoy constitutional protection for customary practices.

The UCC debate frequently becomes politically polarized, thereby obstructing constructive constitutional dialogue. Different communities possess conflicting expectations regarding the nature and scope of reform.

Most importantly, the State must balance equality and gender justice with religious freedom and minority protection. Any attempt at reform without democratic consultation may generate social resistance and constitutional controversy.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

Recent years have witnessed renewed political and judicial discussions regarding implementation of the Uniform Civil Code. Certain states such as Uttarakhand have initiated state-level efforts toward framing a Uniform Civil Code.²⁶

Simultaneously, courts continue emphasizing reform of discriminatory personal laws through constitutional interpretation. Women's rights movements and constitutional scholars increasingly advocate harmonization of personal laws with constitutional principles of equality, dignity, and justice.

FINDINGS

The study reveals that Hindu Personal Law has undergone substantial codification and modernization after independence. Judicial interpretation increasingly relies upon constitutional morality while reviewing discriminatory personal laws. Gender justice remains the strongest

constitutional argument supporting reform and possible implementation of a Uniform Civil Code.

At the same time, religious freedom, minority protection, and cultural diversity continue to present significant constitutional concerns. Judicial decisions generally support gradual reform rather than the abrupt imposition of a common civil code. The study also finds that political polarization frequently affects constructive constitutional dialogue regarding the UCC.

SUGGESTIONS

Personal law reform in India should proceed gradually through democratic consultation and constitutional dialogue. Gender-discriminatory provisions across all personal laws should be removed in accordance with constitutional principles of equality and dignity.

Public awareness regarding constitutional rights and legal reform should be strengthened to encourage informed participation in debates concerning personal laws. Minority concerns must be addressed sensitively to ensure that reform does not appear coercive or majoritarian.

Constitutional morality should remain the guiding principle for future reform of personal laws. Rather than immediate compulsory implementation, optional civil code mechanisms and progressive harmonization of personal laws may provide a more practical and constitutionally balanced approach.

CONCLUSION

The debate concerning the Uniform Civil Code and Hindu Personal Law reflects the broader constitutional conflict between equality and diversity, secularism and religious freedom, reform and tradition.

Hindu Personal Law has already undergone extensive modernization through legislative reforms and progressive judicial interpretation. The codification of Hindu law demonstrates that personal laws are neither immutable nor immune from constitutional scrutiny. Landmark decisions such as *Shah Bano*, *Sarla Mudgal*, *Shayara Bano*, and *Vineeta Sharma* reveal a judicial trend toward harmonizing personal laws with constitutional values of equality, dignity, and justice.

At the same time, India's pluralistic constitutional framework requires sensitivity toward cultural diversity and minority rights. A Uniform Civil Code cannot merely impose legal uniformity through political majoritarianism.

Rather, reform must emerge through democratic consultation, constitutional morality, social consensus, and protection of fundamental rights.

The ultimate objective of personal law reform should not simply be uniformity but realization of justice, dignity, equality, and human rights within a pluralistic constitutional order. Therefore, the future of the Uniform Civil Code in India depends upon achieving constitutional harmony between individual rights and cultural pluralism.

Bibliography

- [1] M.P. Jain, *Indian Constitutional Law* 1123 (8th ed., LexisNexis 2018).
- [2] Paras Diwan, *Modern Hindu Law* 45 (21st ed., Allahabad Law Agency 2018).
- [3] *Mohd. Ahmed Khan v. Shah Bano Begum*, AIR 1985 SC 945.
- [4] *Sarla Mudgal v. Union of India*, AIR 1995 SC 1531.
- [5] *John Vallamattom v. Union of India*, AIR 2003 SC 2902.
- [6] *Shayara Bano v. Union of India*, (2017) 9 SCC 1.
- [7] *Vineeta Sharma v. Rakesh Sharma*, (2020) 9 SCC 1.
- [8] D.D. Basu, *Introduction to the Constitution of India* 256 (23rd ed., LexisNexis 2019).
- [9] INDIA CONST. art. 44.
- [10] Constituent Assembly Debates, Vol. VII, Nov. 23, 1948.
- [11] H.M. Seervai, *Constitutional Law of India* 1567 (4th ed., Universal Law Publishing 2015).
- [12] Paras Diwan, *Modern Hindu Law* 62 (21st ed., Allahabad Law Agency 2018).
- [13] Tahir Mahmood, *Uniform Civil Code: Fictions and Facts* 89 (Universal Law Publishing 2004).
- [14] R.K. Agarwal, *Hindu Law* 37 (Central Law Publications 2017).
- [15] Eleanor Newbiggin, The Codification of Personal Law and Secular Citizenship, 46(2) *Indian Economic & Social History Review* 83 (2009).
- [16] M.P. Jain, *Indian Constitutional Law* 1131 (8th ed., LexisNexis 2018).
- [17] Constituent Assembly Debates, Vol. VII, Nov. 23, 1948.
- [18] Kusum, *Family Law Lectures* 78 (LexisNexis 2019).
- [19] Hindu Succession (Amendment) Act, No. 39 of 2005, India Code.
- [20] *Mohd. Ahmed Khan v. Shah Bano Begum*, AIR 1985 SC 945.
- [21] *Sarla Mudgal v. Union of India*, AIR 1995 SC 1531.
- [22] *John Vallamattom v. Union of India*, AIR 2003 SC 2902.
- [23] *Shayara Bano v. Union of India*, (2017) 9 SCC 1.
- [24] *Vineeta Sharma v. Rakesh Sharma*, (2020) 9 SCC 1.
- [25] Flavia Agnes, *Law and Gender Inequality* 214 (Oxford University Press 2001).
- [26] Law Commission of India, Consultation Paper on Reform of Family Law (2018).