Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

Volume: 5

Issue: 3

Month: Jully

Year: 2026

ISSN: 2583-7117

Published: 06.07.2026

Citation:

Dr. Amit Kauts, Mankiran Virdhi, "Parental Involvement And Neuroeducation: A Pilot Study On Brain Plasticity In Foundational Stage Learners" International Journal of Innovations in Science Engineering and Management, vol. 5, no. 3, 2026, pp. 28-34

DOI:

10.69968/ijisem.2026v5i328-34

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

Parental Involvement And Neuroeducation: A Pilot Study On Brain Plasticity In Foundational Stage Learners

Dr. Amit Kauts[1], Mankiran Virdhi[2]

[1] *Professor and Head, Department of Education, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar*
[2] *Research Scholar, Department of Education, Guru Nanak Dev University*

Abstract

This research paper discusses the link between parental engagement and neuroeducation from the perspective of brain plasticity in early childhood. The study is a pilot investigation of 30 learners in the foundational stage (ages 3 to 6) based on neuroscientific evidence that early childhood is a period of heightened synaptic proliferation and environmental sensitivity. This study uses a parental involvement questionnaire, adapted from validated instruments, to investigate the relationship between parent-child interactive behaviours and developmental outcomes. These results suggest that active, reciprocal parent-child interactions, especially those that include language-rich conversations, responsive caregiving, and play-based learning, are associated with improved neurodevelopmental outcomes. This paper contributes to the growing literature of neuropedagogical research by providing empirical evidence that parental involvement is a crucial environmental mechanism for the development of brain architecture. This article adds to the expanding pool of neuropedagogical research with empirical evidence that parental involvement is a major environmental mechanism for influencing brain architecture during the foundational stage, with implications for educational policy, teacher preparation, and family engagement strategies.

Keywords; Neuroeducation, Brain Plasticity, Parental Involvement, Foundational Stage, Early Childhood Development, Neuropedagogy

INTRODUCTION

The first years of life are a time of special brain development. Neuroscience research over the past few decades has shown that the human brain grows and organizes itself dramatically across the early years of life. From the second month of gestation to age two, synaptic connections are being formed at a rate of about 1.8 million new synapses per second. By the time a child is three years old, the brain has made over a thousand trillion synaptic connections, establishing the basic architecture that will support all future learning, behavior, and emotional regulation.

This extraordinary period of development is characterized by high neuroplasticity, the capacity of the brain to structurally and functionally reorganize itself in response to environmental experiences. This is governed by the principle of "use it or lose it": neural pathways stimulated by environmental input are maintained and strengthened, while unused pathways are pruned away, adapting the brain to its unique environmental conditions. This plasticity offers immense possibilities but also serious vulnerabilities, since adverse experiences such as neglect, stress and lack of stimulation can leave deep and permanent marks on the developing brain.

In this neuroscientific context the role of parents and caregivers is paramount. In fact, it is the quality and quantity of parent-child interactions that directly affects the functioning of brain networks, especially in areas of language processing, executive function and social-emotional regulation. Functional MRI studies have demonstrated that the more frequent the parent-child dialogue, the more activated the left Broca's area, the center of language processing, is, with children who have

more quality dialogues showing increased brain activity and better language test scores, effects that are independent of sociodemographic background.

The emerging field of neuropedagogy tries to translate these neuroscientific findings into educational practice, emphasizing that pedagogical work in the early years goes far beyond knowledge transfer and influences the development of brain architecture, selfregulation, learning skills, stress management patterns and social sensitivity. These principles are becoming more widely known but neuroscientific knowledge is not well integrated into teacher training and educational policy, creating a gap between scientific knowledge and classroom practice

This study addresses this gap through a pilot investigation of 30 foundational stage learners (ages 3 to 6), employing a parental involvement questionnaire as the primary assessment tool. The research aims to answer the following questions:

1. What is the nature and extent of parental involvement among foundational stage learners?
2. How does parental involvement correlate with observed neurodevelopmental outcomes in domains of language, executive function, and social-emotional development?
3. What implications do these findings hold for neuropedagogical practice and parental engagement strategies?

LITERATURE REVIEW

Brain Plasticity and Early Childhood Development

Early childhood is when brain plasticity—the central nervous system's dynamic biological ability to mature, alter its structure and function in response to experiences, and adapt after injury—is most noticeable. This ability is attained via modifying cellular, chemical, and genetic processes that result in ongoing modifications to synaptic connections and the development of brain circuitry.

The brain develops in a hierarchical fashion, with higher-order skills building upon earlier foundations. Early childhood neurodevelopment is long-term impacted by environmental factors, especially educational interactions. Similar to a tennis match, the "serve and return" model of interaction explains how young infants and caregivers engage in responsive back-and-forth exchanges that help the growing brain form neural connections. Neurobiological development is permanently impacted by stress, emotional stability, and the variety of external stimuli.

The idea of crucial periods—windows of increased plasticity when the brain is particularly responsive to particular kinds of input—highlights the significance of prompt intervention. Early childhood is a time of peak development for language, executive function regulation (working memory, inhibitory control, and cognitive flexibility), and social-emotional skills, all of which are highly receptive to external stimulation. Research has shown that specific educational programs can cause observable alterations in brain activity during these crucial times.2.2 Neuropedagogy: Translating Neuroscience into Educational Practice.

An interdisciplinary area called neuropedagogy looks for solutions to the pedagogical implications of early childhood brain research discoveries. This method acknowledges that via their interactions with students, teachers not only impart knowledge but also organize, shape, and encourage brain development. Neuropedagogical ideas have been applied in recent international projects. Leading American universities have started extensive research initiatives incorporating brain discoveries into early childhood instruction. While the "Reach Every Reader" initiative integrates MIT, Harvard, and Florida State University expertise to guarantee sufficient reading skills by age three, the Harvard Center on the Developing Child's Frontiers of Innovation program seeks to uncover and field-test novel intervention approaches.

The focused development of executive functions (EF) in early childhood has advanced significantly in Thailand. Mahidol University and the Health Systems Research Institute collaborated to develop the national MU.EF-101 scale, which offers a standardized assessment of preschoolers' EF abilities in five important domains: inhibition, shifting/resilience, emotion regulation, working memory, and planning. Later initiatives have shown that entertaining, health-promoting modules and mindfulness-based techniques can greatly enhance EF components in preschool environments.

Implemented in border regions of Indonesia, the Reflective Inquiry Learning (RIL) paradigm is an example of neuroscience-informed practice in difficult educational settings. In order to maximize neuroplasticity, this model incorporates social connection, emotional engagement, and multisensory experiences. It shows notable gains in prosocial behaviour and decreases in aggressive and withdrawn behaviour. The model's efficacy was further supported by the participation of educators and parents in reflective learning procedures.

Parental Involvement as a Neurodevelopmental Mechanism

One of the main ways that environmental factors influence brain development is through parental engagement. Multisensory experiences, emotional involvement, and social contact have a significant impact on early childhood neuroplasticity, according to recent research. The application of these ideas is demonstrated by the NE@R (Neuroeducation at Home) platform, which was created for preterm newborns and their families. By offering evidence-based information, videos, and useful activities to improve motor, cognitive, social, and language development at every developmental stage, this digital tool supports parent-delivered play-based therapies. The platform is in line with the ideas of family-centered care, acknowledging that a child's primary environment is their family and that it is impossible to fully comprehend an infant's developmental process without taking into account parents and societal context.

The multifaceted character of paternal caregiving tasks, which include childcare activities, play and affection, household chores, and early learning activities, has been proven by research on father engagement in early childhood undertaken in Kenya. Higher early childhood cognitive, linguistic, motor, and socioemotional development scores were linked to father engagement in all areas. The significance of broadening the definition of parental engagement beyond maternal caring is highlighted by this study. A variety of constructs, such as parental love and guidance, parent-teacher communication, involvement in school activities, and parental satisfaction, have been measured by the development and validation of parental involvement scales. These multifaceted approaches acknowledge that parental engagement includes school-based activities (engaging in class activities), home-based activities (talking about learning attempts, helping with homework), and home-school conferences.

A study conducted in China with 1,019 parent-child pairs looked at the connections between children's positive mental character, parental involvement, and teacher-parent relationships. The results showed that parental participation, which was linked to children's excellent mental character development, was greatly impacted by teacher-parent connections. Significantly, parent-teacher ties acted as a partial mediator in this association, indicating that family-school collaborations enhance the benefits of parental participation.

Neuroplasticity Education: Teaching Children About Their Brains

A new area of study examines the potential benefits of directly teaching young children about neuroplasticity. Simplified neuroplasticity education may enhance academic self-efficacy and achievement in children aged 5 to 7, according to a co-creation and intervention study at University College London. This study recognises that although brief brain education components are included in growth mindset programs, these are usually incorporated into multi-component designs that address motivation, effort-related beliefs, and learning strategies, raising questions about internal validity regarding whether benefits result from knowledge of neuroplasticity or other factors.

In order to ensure relevance, inclusivity, and cultural appropriateness, the co-creation approach actively incorporates educators, parents, and kids in the development of neuroplasticity treatments. This collaborative approach has the potential to create developmentally appropriate interventions that successfully explain brain science to young students and their families.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This pilot study employs a quantitative research design utilizing a parental involvement questionnaire as the primary data collection instrument. The study aims to establish baseline data on parental involvement patterns among foundational stage learners and explore correlations with observed developmental outcomes.

Participants

The sample comprised 30 foundational stage learners (ages 3 to 6) enrolled in early childhood education programs. Foundational stage, as defined in educational frameworks, encompasses the critical developmental period from ages 3 to 6 during which foundational skills in language, cognition, and social-emotional regulation are established.

Participants were recruited from early childhood education settings serving diverse socioeconomic backgrounds. Parental consent was obtained for all participants, and the study was conducted in accordance with ethical guidelines for research involving human subjects.

Instrument: Parental Involvement Questionnaire

The parental involvement questionnaire was developed through adaptation of validated instruments from existing research. The instrument comprised the following dimensions:

1. Home-Based Involvement (7 items): Activities parents engage in at home to support learning, including talking about learning efforts, providing learning resources, and creating a supportive home learning environment.
2. Home-School Conferencing (7 items): Communication and collaboration between parents and teachers regarding the child's learning and behavior.
3. School-Based Involvement (6 items): Parent participation in school activities, classroom events, and volunteer opportunities.
4. Parental Love and Guidance (15 items): Affection, emotional support, and guidance provided by parents.
5. Parent-Teacher Communication (8 items): Clarity and frequency of communication between parents and teachers.

Items were rated on a 5-point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (never) to 5 (always). Higher total scores indicate higher levels of parental engagement.

The questionnaire was pilot-tested with a small sample to ensure clarity, relevance, and appropriateness for the target population. Internal consistency reliability was assessed using Cronbach's alpha coefficients, with acceptable thresholds established at alpha equal to or greater than 0.70 for each subscale.

Data Collection Procedures

Data collection occurred over a period of four weeks. Questionnaires were distributed to parents of participating foundational stage learners through early childhood education centres. Parents completed the questionnaire independently, with support available for those requiring assistance with literacy or comprehension.

In addition to the questionnaire data, teachers provided observational assessments of participating children's developmental progress in domains of language, executive function, and social-emotional development. These assessments utilised existing developmental screening tools and teacher observations.

Data Analysis

Quantitative data were analysed using descriptive statistics (means, standard deviations, frequencies) to characterise parental involvement patterns. Correlation analyses were conducted to examine relationships between parental involvement dimensions and developmental outcomes. All analyses were performed using statistical software.

RESULTS

Participant Characteristics

The sample of 30 foundational stage learners had a mean age of 4.5 years (SD = 1.0), with 53 percent male and 47 percent female participants. Family socioeconomic status varied, with representation from diverse backgrounds. Parent participants included 83 per cent mothers, 13 per cent fathers, and 4 per cent other primary caregivers.

Parental Involvement Patterns

Descriptive analysis of parental involvement questionnaire responses revealed the following patterns:

Table 1: Parental Involvement Dimensions

Dimension	Mean Score	SD	Range (1-5)
Home-Based Involvement	4.21	0.58	3.0-5.0
Parental Love and Guidance	4.43	0.49	3.5-5.0
Home-School Conferencing	3.67	0.82	2.0-5.0
Parent-Teacher Communication	3.58	0.79	2.0-5.0
School-Based Involvement	3.12	0.95	1.5-5.0

Higher levels of parental involvement were reported for home-based activities and affection/guidance, with comparatively lower involvement in school-based activities and formal home-school communication. This pattern is consistent with previous research indicating that parents are more engaged in the home environment than in formal educational settings.

Correlational Findings

Correlation analyses between parental involvement dimensions and teacher-reported developmental outcomes revealed several significant relationships:

1. Language Development: Home-based involvement demonstrated a significant positive correlation with language development scores (r = 0.42, p less than 0.05), consistent with research demonstrating the neurobiological effects of parent-child dialogue on language processing centres.
2. Executive Function: Parental love and guidance showed a significant positive correlation with executive function development (r = 0.38, p less than 0.05), supporting findings that sensitive

parenting correlates with major subcortical brain development.

3. Social-Emotional Development: All parental involvement dimensions demonstrated positive correlations with social-emotional development, with the strongest relationship observed for parental love and guidance (r = 0.45, p less than 0.01). This aligns with research on the importance of emotional bonding for regulating brain structures related to emotions and behavior.
4. Combined Effects: Total parental involvement score correlated positively with overall developmental outcomes (r = 0.48, p less than 0.01), suggesting a cumulative effect of parental engagement across multiple domains.

Qualitative Observations

Teacher observations provided contextual information complementing questionnaire data. Teachers reported that children whose parents demonstrated high involvement (particularly home-based involvement and love/guidance) displayed:

- Greater language fluency and vocabulary
- Stronger self-regulation and impulse control
- Enhanced social competence and peer relationships
- More positive attitudes toward learning

Conversely, children with lower parental involvement scores demonstrated greater variability in developmental outcomes, with some exhibiting delays in language and social-emotional development.

DISCUSSION

Interpretation of Findings

The findings of this pilot study provide empirical support for the neuropedagogical framework linking parental involvement to developmental outcomes through the mechanism of brain plasticity. The significant correlations observed between parental involvement dimensions and developmental outcomes are consistent with neuroscientific evidence on the effects of environmental enrichment on brain development.

The particular strength of home-based involvement and parental love/guidance in predicting developmental outcomes aligns with the "serve and return" model of neural development. These forms of involvement, engaging in dialogue, providing responsive care, creating a stimulating home environment, directly activate the neural networks underlying language, executive function, and social-emotional regulation.

The lower levels of school-based involvement reported by parents reflect a common pattern in early childhood education, where structural barriers (work schedules, competing commitments) limit participation in formal educational settings. However, this finding also suggests an opportunity for educational institutions to strengthen school-family partnerships, which previous research has demonstrated can amplify the effects of parental involvement.

Implications for Neuropedagogical Practice

The results have several ramifications for the practice of early childhood education: Parent Education: The neurodevelopmental significance of regular parent-child interactions should be emphasized in parent education programs due to the strong correlation between home-based involvement and developmental outcomes. Simple activities like talking, reading aloud, and playing interactive games have quantifiable neurobiological benefits that parents can understand. Teacher Training: One significant deficit in teacher education is the underrepresentation of neuroscientific information. Teachers should be prepared to explain the concepts of brain plasticity to parents through training programs. Teachers are better able to direct parental participation initiatives when they are aware of the neurological underpinnings of learning.

Family-School Partnerships: Strong family-school partnerships are crucial, as evidenced by the conclusion that parent-teacher contact and home-school conferences contribute to total parental involvement. Schools should develop friendly environments that encourage parent involvement, offer flexible possibilities for involvement, and set up established communication channels.

Digital Interventions: The effectiveness of digital platforms like NEAR in assisting parent-implemented interventions shows that technology can be a useful tool for closing parental engagement gaps. Neuropedagogical therapies can be used outside of official educational settings with the use of digital tools that offer evidence-based parent-child interaction coaching.

Limitations and Future Research

This pilot study has several limitations that should be acknowledged:

Sample Size: The small sample of 30 participants limits statistical power and generalizability. Future research should replicate findings with larger, more diverse samples.

Cross-Sectional Design: The cross-sectional nature of the data precludes causal inference. Longitudinal studies are

needed to establish the directionality of relationships and examine developmental trajectories over time.

Measurement Issues: Reliance on parental self-report and teacher observation introduces potential bias. Future research should incorporate objective measures of parental involvement (such as home observation or activity logs) and direct child assessments.

Contextual Factors: The study did not systematically examine contextual factors such as socioeconomic status, parent education, or family structure. These variables may moderate the relationship between parental involvement and developmental outcomes.

Cultural Considerations: The parental involvement dimensions identified may vary across cultural contexts. Future research should examine the applicability of these findings across diverse cultural and socioeconomic settings.

Contributions to Neuroeducation

This study contributes to the growing body of research on neuroeducation by:

1. Empirically linking parental involvement to developmental outcomes through a neuropedagogical framework, providing evidence that everyday parent-child interactions have measurable effects on children's developmental trajectories.
2. Demonstrating the applicability of validated parental involvement instruments in the context of foundational stage learners, providing a tool that can be used for assessment and intervention planning.
3. Highlighting the specific dimensions of parental involvement most strongly associated with developmental outcomes, offering guidance for targeted interventions.
4. Supporting the integration of neuroscientific knowledge into early childhood education practice, advocating for parent education and teacher training that reflects current understanding of brain plasticity.

CONCLUSION

Through the perspective of brain plasticity throughout the foundational period of development, this research article has investigated the relationship between parental participation and neuroeducation. Active, reciprocal parent-child involvement, especially in language-rich discussion, responsive caregiving, and home-based learning activities, is linked to improved neurodevelopmental outcomes, according to empirical data from pilot research of thirty foundational stage learners. The results are consistent with a neuropedagogical perspective that views parental participation as the main environmental factor influencing early childhood brain construction. The brain pathways that underpin language, executive function, and social-emotional competence are strengthened by high-quality encounters. On the other hand, less engagement could lead to fewer brain connections, which could have an impact on developmental paths.

These results have consequences for family involvement tactics, teacher preparation programs, and educational policy. Parents can take an active role in their children's brain development by participating in parent education programs that highlight the neurodevelopmental significance of daily interactions. Teachers can effectively manage parental engagement and establish classroom environments that are neurodevelopmentally informed by incorporating neuroscientific information into their training. The benefits of parental involvement can be increased through family-school collaborations that promote cooperation and communication.

Evidence-based neuropedagogical practice is becoming more and more necessary as neuroscience continues to uncover the mechanisms underpinning brain plasticity. Our sensory and perceptual universe begins in early life, when the groundwork for personality and development is laid. In addition to being a scientific endeavour, ensuring that parents, educators, and legislators comprehend and apply this knowledge is a social and human one that strengthens the basis for children's long-term psychological well-being, academic achievement, and community development.

REFERENCES

[1] Varga, L., and Győrffy, M. (2025). Neuropedagogy and early childhood brain development: International practices and opportunities for innovation. Brunszvik Törököben, 349-361.
[2] Santillan, V., Callaman, R., and Englis, T. (2023). Development and validation of the Parental Involvement Scale (PIS). Journal of Community Development Research, 16(4), 45-57.
[3] Harahap, D. G. S., Rafi'y, M., Irawan, F., de Lima, C. N., and Riyana, M. (2025). Reflective inquiry learning for early childhood neuroplasticity: A neuroscience approach in the Indonesian-Papua border. Muallimun, 5(2).
[4] Father involvement scale validation in Kenya. (2024). BMC Public Health, 24, 2970.
[5] Al Makzomy, S. (2025). Neuroplasticity knowledge, academic self-efficacy, and school

achievement in children: A co-creation and intervention study. University College London.
[6] Campos, A. L. (2010). Neuroeducación: Cómo educar para que el cerebro aprenda. Cerebrum Ediciones.
[7] NE@R: A new resource to promote preterm infants' development through parents-delivered guided play. (2025). Frontiers in Public Health, 13, 1597244.
[8] Parental involvement, teacher-parent relationships, and children's positive mental character. (2024). Frontiers in Psychology, 15, 1438784.
[9] Wired to Learn: Translating neuroscience for practice. (2026). Zenodo.
[10] Neuroscience-based reflective inquiry learning model effectiveness. (2025). Muallimun, 5(2).