IJISEM
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIONS IN SCIENCE ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT

Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

Volume: 5

Issue: Special 2

Month: November

Year: 2026

ISSN: 2583-7117

Published: 03.11.2026

Citation:

Shravani Bhoite "Architecture as Educational Narrative: Mapping The Visitor's Learning Journey In An Agro-tourism Centre" International Journal of Innovations in Science Engineering and Management, vol. 5, no. 2, 2026, pp. 91-96.

DOI:

10.69968/ijisem.2026v5iS291-96

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

Architecture as Educational Narrative: Mapping The Visitor's Learning Journey In An Agro-tourism Centre

Shravani Bhoite[1], Prof. Rajesh Rokade[1]

[1]Sir J.J. College of Architecture, Mumbai

Abstract

This paper explores how architectural space can function as an educational narrative within an Agro-tourism centre. While agritourism in India is growing, most farm environments rely on guided tours or verbal explanations, leaving the spatial experience underutilized as a learning medium. This study examines how visitors perceive, move through, and learn from the spatial sequence of an operational farm. The research traces moments of curiosity, confusion, and engagement along the visitor journey. The findings reveal that specific architectural cues-thresholds, material changes, sensory elements, and node placements- significantly influence what visitors notice and remember. The study proposes design principles for narrative-based Agro-tourism planning, highlighting the potential of architecture to communicate farming processes, ecological values, and rural culture more effectively. The work demonstrates that learning on farms is not only program-driven but deeply shaped by spatial sequence

Keywords; Agritourism, Spatial Narrative, Visitor Experience, Experiential Learning, Interpretive Architecture

INTRODUCTION

Agritourism has emerged as an important interface between rural landscapes and urban visitors, offering not only recreational value but also opportunities for environmental learning. Organic farms hold significant potential as informal learning environments where processes such as soil regeneration, composting, water conservation, and seasonal farming cycles can be experienced firsthand. However, these learning opportunities are often communicated verbally through guides or signboards, while the architectural and spatial setting itself remains an underutilized medium for interpretation.

Architecture, by shaping movement, framing views, and structuring experiences, can function as an educational narrative. Spatial sequences, thresholds, sensory cues, and the arrangement of activity nodes have the capacity to guide visitor attention and influence what is observed, understood, and remembered. Despite this potential, limited research exists on how the spatial organisation of a farmstead affects the visitor's learning journey.

This study addresses this gap by examining how visitors navigate and interpret the spatial environment of farm. The objective is to understand how spatial design can reinforce ecological awareness and propose principles for narrative-based farmstead planning.

Architecture As Educational Narrative

Architectural theorists have long argued that space is not merely a container for activities but a medium capable of shaping understanding, behaviour, and meaning. The idea of architecture as narrative suggests that spatial sequences-such as paths, thresholds, framed views, and transitionscan be orchestrated to communicate

information or guide interpretation. Bernard Tschumi, Christian Norberg-Schulz, and other experiential theorists emphasise how movement through space forms a "storyline" constructed by the user. In educational settings, these narrative sequences help structure cognitive processing by presenting information in an order that aligns with human perception and curiosity.

In museums, heritage sites, and ecological parks, spatial storytelling is used to frame exhibits and guide visitors through progressively revealed concepts. Studies on interpretive design highlight the role of physical cues—material contrasts, light changes, elevation shifts, and sensory triggers—in focusing attention and making learning intuitive rather than didactic. Spatial progression itself becomes a learning tool, where each zone builds upon the previous one to deepen understanding.

Although this narrative approach is well-documented in cultural and educational architecture, its application in

Visitor Learning Journey

The concept of a visitor learning journey refers to how individuals absorb information, develop understanding, and form meaning as they move through a physical environment. In outdoor learning settings—such as botanical gardens, interpretive trails, museums, and ecological parks—research shows that learning is shaped not only by content but by the sequence of encounters, the clarity of spatial organisation, and the intensity of sensory cues along the route.

Learning in open, informal environments is typically experiential, built on observation, curiosity, and self-directed exploration. Falk and Dierking's "Contextual Model of Learning" emphasises that learning is a continuous process influenced by personal, social, and physical contexts. Visitors construct meaning as they encounter spaces, objects, activities, and people. Thus, the arrangement of space directly affects what they attend to, how long they engage, and what they ultimately remember.

Behavioural mapping studies indicate that visitors naturally form "learning loops" consisting of four stages:

- Entry & Orientation – forming expectations and mental maps.
- Engagement & Interaction – observing, touching, or participating in activities.
- Absorption & Reflection – processing information at pause points.

agricultural environments is limited. Farmsteads, inherently contain sequential processes—soil preparation, seeding, composting, irrigation, harvesting—that are already narrative in nature. However, these processes are rarely translated into spatial sequences that visitors can follow independently. Existing agritourism studies primarily focus on economic benefits and tourist satisfaction, with minimal attention to how the architecture of the farm—the layout, pathways, nodes, and sensory environments—supports or hinders learning.

This gap highlights the potential for architectural narrative techniques to structure the visitor's journey through an organic farm, transforming agricultural operations into an educative storyline. By applying narrative thinking to the spatial design of farmstead environments, architecture can become an active interpretive medium, enabling visitors to intuitively understand ecological processes and farming cycles through direct experience

- Recall & Connection – linking new knowledge to prior understanding.

In agritourism environments, visitor learning often emerges from hands-on activities such as harvesting, feeding animals, compost demonstrations, or tasting fresh produce. However, if the spatial layout lacks coherence—unclear paths, disconnected nodes, or poorly placed signage—visitors may miss key processes or fail to understand the relationships between them. Studies on environmental education stress that spatial legibility and narrative sequencing sharply improve comprehension, allowing visitors to follow processes in logical order.

Despite this, most organic farmsteads rely heavily on verbal interpretation from farmers or guides. The learning journey remains dependent on human mediation rather than spatial design. Only a few international precedents integrate farming cycles directly into architectural layout—using visual lines, sequential nodes, or sensory cues to guide visitors through soil, water, and plant processes.

This highlights a significant gap: while visitor learning is known to depend on spatial experience, little research explores how the architecture of a farmstead can structure this journey. Understanding the visitor learning journey is therefore essential to designing organic farm environments that communicate ecological processes intuitively and effectively.

Agro tourism Elements: Spaces and Learning Opportunities

An Agro tourism center is composed of functional, ecological, and social spaces that collectively create opportunities for experiential learning. Unlike conventional farms, organic farmsteads prioritise ecological processes such as soil regeneration, biodiversity support, and natural pest management. These processes are inherently educational, and when organised through thoughtful spatial design, they can form a coherent narrative for visitors.

1. Entry and Orientation Zone

Often consisting of a small courtyard, reception area, or verandah, this zone sets the tone for the visit. It introduces the farm's philosophy, seasonal cycles, and rules. Clear orientation helps visitors form a mental map, influencing how confidently they engage with the rest of the site. Interpretation panels, maps, and sensory cues (scents, textures) can strengthen initial understanding.

2. Composting and Soil Regeneration Area

Composting pits, vermicompost units, and organic waste zones visually demonstrate the foundation of sustainable farming. These spaces offer high educational value because they reveal unseen processes—nutrient cycling, decomposition, and soil enrichment. Visitors learn through smell, touch, and observation of micro-activities such as turning compost or feeding earthworms.

3. Nursery and Seedling Zone

Seed trays, potting benches, and shade-net houses illustrate early plant development. This area supports tactile learning, allowing visitors to understand germination, transplanting, and crop planning. The repetitive spatial arrangement of beds and trays naturally guides movement and observation.

4. Cultivation Fields

This is the core of the farmstead, consisting of crop rows, raised beds, polyculture plots, and intercropping zones. Spatial organisation—straight rows, circular beds, spirals, or contour-based planting—can visually communicate agricultural logic. Visitors learn by comparing crop heights, companion planting systems, or irrigation patterns.

5. Water Management Systems

Elements like check-dams, drip lines, mulching beds, greywater channels, and rainwater harvesting structures illustrate ecological water use. These zones communicate processes best when placed adjacent to fields or shaded rest points where visitors naturally pause.

6. Animal Husbandry Areas

Cow sheds, poultry shelters, or goat enclosures connect visitors to nutrient cycles (manure, mulching), feeding rituals, and local livelihoods. Movement, sound, and interaction create memorable sensory learning experiences.

7. Processing & Demonstration Spaces

Simple food-processing nodes—like a small kitchen for jam-making, a shed for drying herbs, or a space for sorting produce—offer high-impact learning. Demonstration activities transform farm processes into tangible experiences that visitors can relate to their daily lives.

8. Rest Nodes and Viewing Points

Otaas, courtyards, shaded trees, and elevated platforms act as reflection points. Learning consolidates during pauses, making these spaces essential to the narrative structure. Visual connections between zones allow visitors to observe relationships such as water flow, crop rotation, or animal integration.

9. Retail or Tasting Area

Farm retail spaces, tasting counters, or small cafés allow visitors to connect the production cycle with consumption. Understanding origin–processing–taste relationships reinforces the educational loop.

Learning Through Spatial Sequence in Farm-Based Environments

Across diverse farm-based learning environments, a common approach emerges in which education is embedded within the spatial and ecological organisation of the site rather than delivered through formal instruction alone.

Learning unfolds as visitors move through landscapes structured around natural processes such as water conservation, soil regeneration, seed cultivation, food production, and consumption. These environments rely on sequenced movement, where paths lead users from preparatory stages (soil, water, seeds) to productive zones (fields, forests, gardens) and finally to spaces of reflection, processing, or consumption. Hands-on engagement—such as working with soil, observing crop diversity, or participating in food preparation—reinforces understanding through direct experience. Architectural and landscape elements remain intentionally minimal, allowing ecological processes to take precedence while still guiding attention through framed views, pause points, and activity nodes. Rather than treating learning as a separate programme, these environments demonstrate how spatial order, visibility of processes, and physical participation can transform

everyday agricultural settings into intuitive educational narratives that foster ecological awareness and systems thinking.

Auroville's Sadhana Forest (Tamil Nadu), Navdanya Biodiversity Farm (Dehradun), and The Edible Schoolyard (Berkeley, USA) collectively demonstrate how farm environments can function as immersive educational narratives through spatial organisation rather than formal instruction alone. In all three cases, learning emerges from movement through a carefully ordered sequence of spaces that mirror ecological processes.

At Sadhana Forest, visitors experience water scarcity, soil restoration, and forest regeneration by moving from water-harvesting structures to sapling zones and regenerated forest areas, making ecological recovery legible through spatial progression.

Navdanya Biodiversity Farm structures learning around seed-to-soil-to-food cycles, where seed banks, nurseries, fields, and processing spaces are arranged to communicate biodiversity, organic farming, and cultural knowledge through direct engagement.

The Edible Schoolyard translates the farm-to-plate concept into a clear spatial loop—composting, cultivation, harvesting, cooking, and eating—allowing students to understand food systems by physically participating in each stage.

Across all three case studies, architecture and landscape act as silent educators, using paths, nodes, hands-on zones, and sensory cues to guide visitors through a logical learning journey. Together, they establish that when spatial design follows ecological processes, the environment itself becomes a powerful tool for experiential learning and environmental awareness.

How can architecture create an educational journey on a farm?

1. Arrange spaces in the same order as the farming process (Process-based spatial sequencing) What this means in practice Farming follows a logical, cyclical process:

- waste → soil → seed → plant → water → harvest → food

When visitors move through spaces in this same order, their brain automatically builds cause–effect relationships.

Why this works

- Cognitive science shows humans understand systems best when information is presented sequentially, not randomly.
- In museums and science parks, this is called chronological or process sequencing.
- If visitors see compost first, then nursery, they understand why compost matters without explanation.

Architectural implication

- Compost pit should not be hidden at the back.
- Nursery should not come after the fields.
- Processing/tasting should be last, not first.

2. Use paths to guide the story (Movement as narrative) What paths actually do Paths are not neutral. They:

- decide what comes next
- control speed (wide = fast, narrow = slow)
- control attention (straight = destination-focused, curved = exploratory)

Why this matters for learning

- Studies in visitor behaviour show that people remember what they encounter along their main path, not side areas.
- If learning spaces are off-path, they get skipped.
- If the path passes through learning zones, engagement increases.

Architectural tools

- Gentle curves to reveal next activity slowly
- Slight level changes to signal importance
- Continuous material language to avoid confusion

3. Add small pause points where learning happens what happens when people stop learning does not happen while walking fast. It happens when people:

- stop
- look
- compare
- reflect

Environmental psychology calls this "dwell time".

Evidence from studies

- Botanical garden research shows learning increases dramatically at:
  - benches
  - shaded nodes
  - viewing decks

- Visitors recall more information at locations where they stayed longer than 30–60 seconds. Architectural implication
- A compost pit without a place to stop = missed lesson
- A nursery with shade + seating = learning zone

4. Create “learning moments” using simple design cues (Attention framing) What design cues actually do Small elements act as attention directors:

- walls
- frames
- narrowing paths
- level changes

5.Why this is important Visitors don’t know what is important on a farm. Everything looks similar unless highlighted spatially. Research logic

- In interpretation design, this is called cueing
- The human brain notices change more than content:
  - light → dark
  - open → enclosed
  - wide → narrow

  Example

  A compost pit is just waste. A compost pit framed by a low wall becomes a process to observe. . Make hands-on areas easy to see and access (Experiential learning) Why hands-on learning is critical Educational theory (Kolb’s Experiential Learning Model) shows:

- Doing > seeing > hearing
- Touching soil creates stronger memory than reading about it

  What goes wrong on many farms

- Hands-on areas are:
  - hidden
  - unsafe
  - restricted
  - So visitors become passive observers.

  Architectural role

- Clear boundaries = safe interaction
- Visual openness = invitation

  6. Frame the important processes (Visual pedagogy) What framing does Framing:

- isolates
- focuses
- Explains relationshipslike a diagram, but built. Research parallel
- Museums use framed views to explain machines
- Landscape pedagogy uses viewing corridors to explain systems farm examples
- Seeing irrigation pipe from above = understanding distribution
- Seeing cowshed next to manure pit = nutrient loop clarity

7. Give clues without needing signboards(Implicit interpretation)Why signboards often fail

- Visitors don’t read much
- Language barriers exist
- Too much text breaks immersion

Spatial clues work better

- Flooring change
- Ceiling height change
- Enclosure change

These are non-verbal signals.

Example

Mud → stone → tile Visitors understand: field → transition → processing without reading anything.

8. Make the storytelling loop complete Why closure matters Learning feels complete only when:

- start and end connect
- effort leads to outcome

This is called narrative closure.

Farm context

- Seeing compost → eating food closes the loop
- Visitors understand:
- waste is not waste

Design implication

- End space should reflect the beginning
- Tasting/retail near exit is not commercial—it’s educational

9. Keep everything interconnected and visible Why visibility matters Farming is about relationships, not isolated parts:

- soil ↔ plants ↔ water ↔ animals ↔ humans

Cognitive principle People understand systems better when:

- components are visible together
- distances are short
- links are obvious

Architectural tools

- Visual corridors
- Overlapping views
- Layered spaces

Inferences

1. Spatial order directly shapes learning order. The sequencing of farmstead elements—entry, compost area, nursery, fields, water systems, processing—creates a natural narrative of "soil → plant → water → harvest." When arranged coherently, visitors understand ecological relationships without explicit explanation. When fragmented, learning becomes inconsistent and dependent on verbal guidance.

2. Architectural and sensory cues act as narrative triggers. Thresholds, changes in material, framed views, shade–light transitions, and distinct sounds (animals, water, tools) create moments of curiosity. These moments become the "chapters" of the visitor's learning journey.

3. Pause points are essential to meaning-making. Visitors learn most during moments of rest—under a tree, near a compost pit, next to a greenhouse. Farmsteads lacking intentional pause points reduce opportunities for reflection and comprehension.

4. Hands-on zones produce the strongest retention. Spaces that allow visitors to touch soil, handle seedlings, feed animals, or observe processing activities create deeper, longer-lasting learning compared to passive viewing.

5. Lack of spatial legibility leads to missed learning opportunities. Confusing circulation, hidden nodes, or abrupt transitions cause visitors to bypass essential processes such as composting or seedling production. This weakens the ecological narrative.

6. Verbal explanation currently compensates for weak spatial storytelling. Most farms rely on farmers or guides to "connect the dots," indicating that architecture alone does not clearly communicate the organic cycle.

8. There is a clear gap in design intent. Farmstead spaces exist for function, not for educational clarity. This disconnect highlights an opportunity for architecture to be intentionally designed as an interpretive medium.

REFERENCES

[1]. Falk, J. H. & Dierking, L. D. (2013) The Museum Experience Revisited. New York: Routledge.
[2]. Kolb, D. A. (1984) Experiential Learning: Experience as the Source of Learning and Development. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
[3]. Norberg-Schulz, C. (1980) Genius Loci: Towards a Phenomenology of Architecture. New York: Rizzoli.
[4]. Pallasmaa, J. (2005) The Eyes of the Skin: Architecture and the Senses. Chichester: Wiley.
[5]. Tschumi, B. (1996) Architecture and Disjunction. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
[6]. Louv, R. (2008) Last Child in the Woods: Saving Our Children from Nature-Deficit Disorder. Chapel Hill, NC: Algonquin Books.
[7]. Throsby, D. (2001) Economics and Culture. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
[8]. Nowacki, M. M. (2021) Heritage interpretation as a tool for sustainable development. Sustainability, 13(9), pp. 1–15. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/su13095021
[9]. Ballantyne, R. & Packer, J. (2011) Using tourism free-choice learning experiences to promote environmentally sustainable behaviour. Journal of Sustainable Tourism, 19(6), pp. 725–742. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2010.541547
[10]. Lane, B. (2009) Rural tourism: An overview. The SAGE Handbook of Tourism Studies, pp. 354–370. London: SAGE Publications.